







Thanks

Collaborators:

Felix Abramowich Yoav Benjamini David Donoho Noureddine El Karoui Peter Forrester Gérard Kerkyacharian Debashis Paul Dominique Picard Bernard Silverman

Presentation help: B. Narasimhan, N. El Karoui, J-M Corcuera

Grant Support: NIH, NSF, ARC (via P. Hall)

Abraham Wald



Three Talks

1. Function Estimation & Classical Normal Theory

• $X_n \sim N_{p(n)}(\theta_n, I)$ $p(n) \nearrow$ with n (MVN)

- 2. The Threshold Selection Problem
 - In (MVN) with, say, $\hat{\theta}_i = X_i I\{|X_i| > \hat{t}\}$
 - How to select $\hat{t} = \hat{t}(X)$ "reliably"?
- 3. Large Covariance Matrices
 - $X_n \sim N_{p(n)}(I \otimes \Sigma_{p(n)})$; especially $X_n = \begin{vmatrix} Y_n \\ Z_n \end{vmatrix}$
 - spectral properties of $n^{-1}X_nX_n^T$
 - PCA, CCA, MANOVA

Focus on Gaussian Models

Cue from Wald (1943), Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.

1943]TESTS OF STATISTICAL HYPOTHESES433

4. Reduction of the general problem to the case of a multivariate normal distribution. In this section we shall prove two lemmas which will enable us to reduce the general problem of large sample inference to the case where the variates under consideration have a joint normal distribution.

LEMMA 1. For each positive integer n there exists a set-function $W_n^*(W_n)$

-an inspiration for Le Cam's theory of Local Asymptotic Normality (LAN)

Focus on Gaussian Models, ctd.

- "Growing models": $p \asymp n$ or $p \gg n$ is now commonplace (classification, genomics..)
- Reality check:

"But, no real data is Gaussian..."

Yes (in many fields), and yet,

consider the power of fable and fairy tale...

1. Function Estimation and Classical Normal Theory

Theme:

Gaussian White Noise model & multiresolution point of view allow classical parametric theory of $N_d(\theta, I)$ to be exploited in *nonparametric* function estimation

Reference: book manuscript in (non-)progress: Function Estimation and Gaussian Sequence Models www-stat.stanford.edu/~imj

Agenda

- Classical Parametric Ideas
- Nonparametric Estimation and Growing Gaussian Models
- I. Kernel Estimation and James-Stein Shrinkage
- II. Thresholding and Sparsity
- III. Bernstein-von Mises phenomenon

Classical Ideas

a) Multinormal shift model

 X_1, \ldots, X_n data from $P_\eta(dx) = f_\eta(x)\mu(dx), \quad \eta \in H \subset \mathbb{R}^d$.

Let I_0 = Fisher information matrix at η_0 .

Local asymptotic Gaussian approximation:

$$\{P_{\eta_0+\theta/\sqrt{n}}^n, \theta \in \mathbb{R}^d\} \approx \{N_d(\theta, I_0^{-1}), \theta \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$$

Classical Ideas, ctd

b) ANOVA, Projections and Model Selection

$$Y_{n \times 1} = \begin{array}{c} X \ \beta \\ n \times d \ d \times 1 \end{array} + \sigma \epsilon$$

Submodels: $X = [X_0 \ X_1] \rightarrow \text{projections } P_{X_0}.$

Canonical form: $y = \theta + \sigma z$.

Projections:
$$(P_0y)_i = \begin{cases} y_i & i \in I_0 \\ 0 & o/w \end{cases}$$

MSE:
$$E \| P_0 y - \theta \|^2 = \sum_{i \in I_0} \sigma^2 + \sum_{i \notin I_0} \theta_i^2$$

Classical Ideas, ctd

c) Minimax estimation of θ

$$\inf_{\hat{\theta}} \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d} E_{\theta} \| \hat{\theta}(y) - \theta \|^2 = d\sigma^2$$

attained by MLE $\hat{\theta}_{MLE}(y) = y$.

d) James-Stein estimate

$$\hat{\theta}^{JS}(y) = \left(1 - \frac{d-2}{\|y\|^2}\right)y$$

dominates MLE if $d \geq 3$.

Classical Ideas, ctd

e) Conjugate priors

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Prior:} & \theta \sim N(0,\tau^2 I), \\ \mbox{Posterior:} & \theta | y \sim N(\hat{\theta}_y,\sigma_y^2) \end{array} \end{array}$

Prior: $\theta \sim N(0, \tau^2 I)$, Likelihood: $y|\theta \sim N(\theta, \sigma^2 I)$

$$\hat{\theta}_y = \frac{\tau^2}{\sigma^2 + \tau^2} y \qquad \sigma_y^2 = \frac{\sigma^2 \tau^2}{\sigma^2 + \tau^2}$$

f) Unbiased risk estimate $Y \sim N_d(\theta, I)$. For g (weakly) differentiable

 $E||Y + g(Y) - \theta||^2 = E[d + 2\nabla^T g(Y) + ||g(Y)||^2] = E[U(Y)]$

If
$$g = g(Y;t)$$
 then $U = U(Y;t)$.

Agenda

- Classical Parametric Ideas
- Nonparametric Estimation and Growing Gaussian Models
- I. Kernel Estimation and James-Stein Shrinkage
- II. Thresholding and Sparsity
- III. Bernstein-von Mises phenomenon

Nonparametric function estimation

- Hodges and Fix (1951) nonparametric classification
- spectrum estimation in time series,
- kernel methods for **density est'n**, **regression**
- roughness penalty methods (splines)
- techniques largely differ from parametric normal theory
- Ibragimov & Hasminskii (and school): importance of Gaussian white noise (GWN) model:

$$Y_t = \int_0^t f(s)ds + \epsilon W_t, \qquad 0 \le t \le 1$$

Motivation for GWN model

GWN model emerges as large-sample limit of *equispaced regression*, density estimation, spectrum estimation,...



$$y_j = f(j/n) + \sigma w_j$$
$$j = 1, \dots, n$$
$$\epsilon = \sigma/\sqrt{n}$$

Motivation for GWN model

GWN model emerges as large-sample limit of *equispaced regression*, density estimation, spectrum estimation,...





Motivation for GWN model

GWN model emerges as large-sample limit of *equispaced regression*, density estimation, spectrum estimation,...





$$Y_t = \int_0^t f(s)ds + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot W_t$$

Series form of WN Model

$$Y_t = \int_0^t f(s)ds + \epsilon W_t$$

For any orthonormal basis $\{\psi_{\lambda}, \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ for $L_2[0, 1]$,

$$\int \psi_{\lambda} dY_t = \int \psi_{\lambda} f dt + \epsilon \int \psi_{\lambda} dW_t$$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad y_{\lambda} = \theta_{\lambda} + \epsilon z_{\lambda}, \qquad (z_{\lambda}) \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(0,1), \quad \lambda \in \Lambda$$

Parseval relation implies

$$\int (\hat{f} - f)^2 = \sum (\hat{\theta}_{\lambda} - \theta_{\lambda})^2 = \|\hat{\theta} - \theta\|^2, \quad \text{etc.}$$

 \rightarrow analysis of **infinite** sequences in $\ell_2(\mathbb{N})$

Multiresolution connection

Wavelet orthonormal bases $\{\psi_{jk}\}$ have **double** index level ("octave") $j = 1, 2, \dots, k = 1, \dots, 2^{j}$

Collect coefficients in a single level:

$$y_j = (y_{jk})$$
 $k = 1, \dots, 2^j$
 $\theta_j = (\theta_{jk})$ etc.

Finite (but growing with j) multivariate normal model

$$y_j \sim N_{2^j}(\theta_j, \epsilon^2 I), \qquad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

 \Rightarrow apply classical normal theory to the vectors y_j .



Some S8 Symmlets at various scales and locations

– p.18

Example



- Nationwide electricity consumption in France
- Here: 3 days (Thur, Fri, Sat), summer 1990, $\Delta t = 1$ min.
- from Misiti et. al., Rev. Statist. Appliquée 1994, 57-77.
- N.B. Malfunction of meters: d. 2, 16.30 d. 3, 09.00



Often o.k. to treat a single level as $\sim N_{2^j}(\theta_j, \epsilon^2 I)$



Or, apply $N_d(\theta, I)$ model to a block within a level.

The Minimax principle

Given loss function $L(a, \theta)$, and risk

$$R(\hat{\theta},\theta) = E_{\theta}L(\hat{\theta},\theta)$$

choose estimator $\hat{\theta}$ so as to minimize the maximum risk $\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} E_{\theta} L(\hat{\theta}, \theta).$

- introduced into statistics by Wald
- L.J. Savage (1951):

"the only rule of comparable generality proposed since [that of] Bayes' was published in 1763"

- standard complaint: $\hat{\theta}$ depends on Θ :
 - optimizing on the worst case may be irrelevant

Simultaneous near-minimaxity

Shift in perspective on minimaxity

- fix \hat{f} in advance; an estimator to be evaluated
- for many spaces \mathcal{F} , compare

$$\sup_{\mathcal{F}} R(\hat{f}, f) \quad \text{to} \quad \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}) = \inf_{\hat{f}} \sup_{\mathcal{F}} R(\hat{f}, f)$$

• shift from

"Exact answer to 'wrong' problem" to "Approx answer to many (related) problems"

 \Rightarrow an imperfect, yet serviceable tool

Agenda

- Classical Parametric Ideas
- Nonparametric Estimation and Growing Gaussian Models
- I. Kernel Estimation and James-Stein Shrinkage
- II. Thresholding and Sparsity
- III. Bernstein-von Mises phenomenon

I. Kernel estimation & James-Stein Shrinkage



Priestley-Chao estimator:

$$\hat{f}_h(t) = \frac{1}{Nh} \sum_{i=1}^N K\left(\frac{t-t_i}{h}\right) Y_i$$
 e.g. $K(x) = (1-x^2)_+^4$

- Automatic choice of *h*?
- Huge literature, (e.g. Wand & Jones, 1995)
- James-Stein provides simple, powerful approach

Fourier Form of Kernel Smoothing



James-Stein Shrinkage

For $y \sim N_d(\theta, I)$, and $\mathbf{d} \geq \mathbf{3}$

$$\hat{\theta}^{JS+} = \left(1 - \frac{d-2+\eta}{|y|^2}\right)_+ y$$

Unbiased estimate of risk:

$$E\|\hat{\theta}^{JS} - \theta\|^2 = E_{\theta}\left\{d - \frac{(d-2)^2 + \eta^2}{\|y\|^2}\right\} \le d$$



Smoothing via Blockwise James-Stein

Block Fourier or wavelet (here) coefficients:

$$y_j = (y_{jk}), \quad k = 1, \dots, d_j = 2^j; \quad \theta_j = (\theta_{jk}) \quad \text{etc.}$$

Apply J-S shrinkage to each block: [refs]

$$\hat{\theta}_j^{JS+} = \left(1 - \frac{\beta_j \epsilon^2}{|y_j|^2}\right)_+ y_j \qquad 2 \le j \le \log_2 N$$

$$\beta_j = \begin{cases} d_j - 2 & (\text{ExactJS}) \text{ or} \\ d_j + \sqrt{2d_j}\sqrt{2\log d_j} & (\text{ConsJS}) \end{cases}$$

For ConsJS, if $\theta_j = 0$, then $P\{|y_j|^2 < \beta_j\} \nearrow 1$, so $\hat{\theta}_j^{JS} = 0$.

Block James-Stein imitates Kernel





Block James-Stein imitates Kernel



Properties of Block James-Stein

- Block JS imitates kernel smoothing, **but**
- near automatic choice of 'bandwidth' – canonical choices of β_j
- Easy theoretical analysis from unbiased risk bounds

$$E\|\hat{\theta}_j^{JS} - \theta_j\|^2 \le 2\epsilon^2 + \|\theta_j\|^2 \wedge 2^j \epsilon^2$$

Properties of Block James-Stein

- Block JS imitates kernel smoothing, **but**
- near automatic choice of 'bandwidth' – canonical choices of β_j
- Easy theoretical analysis from unbiased risk bounds

$$E\|\hat{\theta}_j^{JS} - \theta_j\|^2 \le 2\epsilon^2 + \|\theta_j\|^2 \wedge 2^j \epsilon^2$$

- e.g. below: MSE for *Hölder smooth* functions:
 - $0 < \delta < 1$ $|f(x) f(y)| \le B|x y|^{\delta}$ all x, y (*)
 - $\alpha = r + \delta, r \in \mathbb{N}$, $D^r f$ satisfies (*).
- In terms of wavelet coefficients:

$$f \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}(C) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad |\theta_{jk}| \le C2^{-(\alpha+1/2)j} \quad \text{for all } j,k$$

A single level determines MSE

From unbiased risk bounds and Hölder smoothness:

$$E\|\hat{\theta}_j^{JS} - \theta_j\|^2 \le [2\epsilon^2 + \|\theta_j\|^2 \wedge 2^j\epsilon^2]$$

 $f \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}(C) \Rightarrow \|\theta_j\|^2 \le C^2 2^{-2\alpha j}$

A single level determines MSE

From unbiased risk bounds and Hölder smoothness:

$$\sum_{j} E \|\hat{\theta}_{j}^{JS} - \theta_{j}\|^{2} \leq \sum_{j \leq J} [2\epsilon^{2} + \|\theta_{j}\|^{2} \wedge 2^{j}\epsilon^{2}] + \sum_{j > J} \|\theta_{j}\|^{2}$$
$$f \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}(C) \Rightarrow \|\theta_{j}\|^{2} \leq C^{2}2^{-2\alpha j}$$



Growing Gaussians



- geometric decay of MSE away from critical j_*
- Growing Gaussian aspect: As noise $\epsilon = \sigma/\sqrt{n}$) decreases, worst level $j_* = j_*(\epsilon, C)$ increases:

$$d_{j_{\star}} = 2^{j_{\star}} = c(C/\epsilon)^{2/(2\alpha+1)}$$

MultiJS is rate-adaptive

The "optimal rate" for $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}(C)$ is ϵ^{2r} , with $r = r(\alpha) = \frac{2\alpha}{2\alpha+1}$.

• JS risk bounds imply simultaneous near-minimaxity:

 $\sup_{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}(C)} R(\hat{f}^{JS}, f) \le cC^{2(1-r)} \epsilon^{2r} (1 + o(1)) \asymp \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}(C)).$

- For a **single, prespecified** estimator \hat{f}^{JS} , valid for
 - all smoothness $\alpha \in (0,\infty)$,
 - bounds $C \in (0, \infty)$.
- No "speed limit" to rate of convergence
 - "infinite order kernel" (for certain wavelets)
- Conclusion follows easily from single level James-Stein analysis in multinormal mean model

Agenda

- Classical Parametric Ideas
- Nonparametric Estimation and Growing Gaussian Models
- I. Kernel Estimation and James-Stein Shrinkage
- II. Thresholding and Sparsity
- III. Bernstein-von Mises phenomenon

James-Stein Fails on Sparse Signals

$$\frac{d\|\theta\|^2}{d+\|\theta\|^2} \le R(\hat{\theta}^{JS}, \theta) \le 2 + \frac{d\|\theta\|^2}{d+\|\theta\|^2}$$

 $r-\text{spike } \theta_r: r \text{ co-ords at } \sqrt{d/r} \implies \|\theta\|^2 = d.$
 $\|\|\cdot\|\|\cdot\|\|\cdot\|$

So $R(\hat{\theta}^{JS}, \theta_r) \ge d/2$

James-Stein Fails on Sparse Signals

Hard thresholding at $t = \sqrt{2 \log d}$:

$$R(\hat{\theta}^{HT}, \theta_r) \approx d \cdot 2t\phi(t) + r \cdot [1 + \eta(d/r)]$$
$$\leq r + 3\sqrt{2\log d}$$

A more systematic story for thresholding

Based on ℓ_p norms and balls: (mostly with p < 2)

$$\|\theta\|_p^p = \sum_{i=1}^d |\theta_i|^p$$

- 1. ℓ_p norms capture sparsity
- 2. thresholding arises from least squares estimation with ℓ_p constraints
- 3. describe best possible estimation over ℓ_p balls
- 4. show that thresholding (nearly) attains the best possible

 ℓ_p norms, p < 2, capture sparsity



 ℓ_1 ball smaller than ℓ_2 , \Rightarrow expect better estimation

 ℓ_p norms, p < 2, capture sparsity



 ℓ_1 ball smaller than ℓ_2 , \Rightarrow expect better estimation Sparsity of representation depends on basis: rotation sends

$$(0, C, 0, \ldots) \longrightarrow (C/\sqrt{d}, \ldots, C/\sqrt{d})$$

Thresholding from constrained least squares

i.e.
$$\min \sum (y_i - \theta_i)^2 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \sum |\theta_i|^p \le C^p$$

leads to

- p = 2 Linear Shrinkage $\hat{\theta}_i = (1 + \lambda)^{-1} y_i$
- p = 1 Soft thresholding

 $[\lambda' = \lambda/2]$

$$\hat{\theta}_i = \begin{cases} y_i - \lambda' & y_i > \lambda' \\ 0 & |y_i| \le \lambda' \\ y_i + \lambda' & y_i < -\lambda' \end{cases}$$

• p = 0 Hard thresholding $\hat{\theta}_i = y_i I\{|y_i| > \lambda\}.$ [penalty $\lambda \sum I\{\theta_i \neq 0\}$]

Bounds on best estimation on ℓ_p balls

Minimax risk: $R_{d,p}(C) = \inf_{\hat{\theta}} \sup_{\|\theta\|_p \leq C} E_{\theta} \sum_{1}^{d} (\hat{\theta}_i - \theta_i)^2$. Non-asymptotic bounds: [Birgé-Massart]

$$c_1 r_{d,p}(C) \le R_{d,p}(C) \le c_2[\log d + r_{d,p}(C)]$$



• ℓ_1 ball smaller \rightarrow (much) smaller minimax risk

Bounds on best estimation on ℓ_p balls

Minimax risk: $R_{d,p}(C) = \inf_{\hat{\theta}} \sup_{\|\theta\|_p \leq C} E_{\theta} \sum_{1}^{d} (\hat{\theta}_i - \theta_i)^2$. Non-asymptotic bounds: [Birgé-Massart]

$$c_1 r_{d,p}(C) \le R_{d,p}(C) \le c_2[\log d + r_{d,p}(C)]$$



• ℓ_1 ball smaller \rightarrow (much) smaller minimax risk

Thresholding nearly attains the bound

For simplicity: threshold $t = \sqrt{2 \log d}$

Oracle inequality for soft thresholding: [non-asymptotic!]

$$E\|\hat{\theta}^{ST} - \theta\|^2 \le (2\log d + 1)[1 + \sum (\theta_i^2 \wedge 1)]$$

Apply to ℓ_1 ball $\{\theta : \|\theta\|_1 \leq C\}$.

$$\sup_{\|\theta\|_1 \le C} E \|\hat{\theta}^{ST} - \theta\|^2 \le (2\log d + 1)(C + 1).$$

Better bounds possible for data-dependent thresholds t = t(Y)(Lec. 2)

Summary for $N_d(\theta, I)$

For $X \sim N_d(\theta, I)$, comparing

- James Stein shrinkage ($\beta = d 2$), and
- soft thresholding at $t = \sqrt{2 \log d}$:

James-Stein shrinkage: orthogonally invariant:

$$\frac{1}{2}(\|\theta\|^2 \wedge d) \le E\|\hat{\theta}^{JS} - \theta\|^2 \le 2 + (\|\theta\|^2 \wedge d)$$

Thresholding: co-ordinatewise, and co-ordinate dependent:

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i}(\theta_{i}^{2} \wedge 1) \leq E \|\hat{\theta}^{ST} - \theta\|^{2} \leq (2\log d + 1)(1 + \sum_{i}(\theta_{i}^{2} \wedge 1))$$

Implications for Function Estimation

Key example: functions of bounded **total variation**: $TV(C) = \{f : ||f||_{TV} \le C\}$

$$||f||_{TV} = \sup_{t_1 < \dots < t_N} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} |f(t_{i+1}) - f(t_i)| + ||f||_1$$

Well captured by weighted combinations of ℓ_1 norms on wavelet coefficients:

$$c_1 \sup_j 2^{j/2} \|\theta_j\|_1 \le \|f\|_{TV} \le c_2 \sum_j 2^{j/2} \|\theta_j\|_1$$

Best possible (minimax) MSE

$$R(TV(C), \epsilon) = \inf_{f} \sup_{f \in TV(C)} E \|\hat{f} - f\|^2$$

Reduction to single levels

$$\sup_{\theta} E \|\hat{\theta} - \theta\|^2 = \sum_{j} \sup_{\theta_j} E \|\hat{\theta}_j - \theta_j\|^2$$

- Apply thresholding bounds for each
 j: to N_{2^j}(θ_j, ε²I).
- \exists a worst case level $j_* = j_*(\epsilon, C)$,
- Geometric decay of $\sup_{j} E \|\hat{\theta}_{j} \theta_{j}\|^{2}$ as $|j - j_{*}| \nearrow$.



Growing Gaussian aspect: As noise $\epsilon = \sigma/\sqrt{n}$ decreases, worst level $j_* = j_*(\epsilon, C)$ increases:

$$d_{j_{\star}} = 2^{j_{\star}} = c(C/\epsilon)^{2/3}$$

Final Result

$$\sup_{TV(C)} R(\hat{f}_{thr}, f) \asymp C^{2(1-r)} \epsilon^{2r} \qquad r = 2/3$$

 $\sup_{TV(C)} R(\hat{f}_{JS}, f) \asymp C^{2(1-r_L)} \epsilon^{2r_L} \quad r_L = 1/2$

25







Block JS shrinkage



Agenda

- Classical Parametric Ideas
- Nonparametric Estimation and Growing Gaussian Models
- I. Kernel Estimation and James-Stein Shrinkage
- II. Thresholding and Sparsity
- III. Bernstein-von Mises phenomenon

Bernstein-von Mises Phenomenon

Asymptotic match of freq. & Bayesian confidence intervals:

Classical version

 $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} p_{\theta}(y) d\mu \qquad \theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^d \qquad \mathbf{d} \text{ fixed}$

Under mild conditions, as $n \to \infty$,

$$\left\| P_{\theta|Y} - N(\hat{\theta}_{MLE} , n^{-1}I_{\theta_0}^{-1}) \right\| \xrightarrow{P_{n,\theta_0}} 0,$$

where

- $I_{\theta} = E_{\theta} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log p_{\theta} \right] \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log p_{\theta} \right]^{T}$ is Fisher information,
- $||P Q|| = \max_A |P(A) Q(A)|$ is total variation distance

Non-parametric Regression

$$Y_i = f(i/n) + \sigma_0 w_i, \qquad i = 1, \dots, n$$

For typical smoothness priors (e.g. d^{th} integrated Wiener process prior)

- Bernstein von Mises fails [Dennis Cox, D. A. Freedman]
- frequentist & posterior laws of $\|\hat{f} f\|_2^2$ mismatch in center and scale

Here,

- revisit via elementary Growing Gaussian Model approach
- Apply to single levels of wavelet decomposition

Growing Gaussian Model

Data: $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n | \theta \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N_p(\theta, \sigma_0^2 I)$ **Prior:** $\theta \sim N_p(0, \tau_n^2)$.

• growing: $p = p(n) \nearrow$ with n

•
$$\sigma_n^2 = \operatorname{Var}(\bar{Y}_n|\theta) = \sigma_0^2/n; \quad \tau_n^2 \text{ may depend on } n.$$

Goal: compare $\mathcal{L}(\hat{\theta}_{MLE}|\theta), \mathcal{L}(\hat{\theta}_{Bayes}|\theta)$ with $\mathcal{L}(\theta|Y)$.

Posterior: All Gaussian: **centering** and **scaling** are key:

$$\left| \mathcal{L}(\theta | \bar{Y} = \bar{y}) \sim N_p(\hat{\theta}_B = \mathbf{w_n} \bar{y}, \ \mathbf{w_n} \sigma_n^2 I \right) \right|$$

$$\mathbf{w_n} = \frac{\tau_n^2}{\sigma_n^2 + \tau_n^2}$$

Growing Gaussians and BvM

Correspondences:

$$P_{\theta|Y} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad N_p(\boldsymbol{w_n}\bar{y}, \ \boldsymbol{w_n}\sigma_n^2 I \)$$
$$N(\hat{\theta}_{MLE}, n^{-1}I_{\theta_0}^{-1}) \quad \leftrightarrow \quad N_p(\bar{y}, \ \sigma_n^2 I \)$$

For BvM to hold, now need $w_n \nearrow 1$ sufficiently fast:

Proposition: In the growing Gaussian model

$$\left\| P_{\theta|Y} - N(\hat{\theta}_{MLE}, n^{-1}I_{\theta_0}^{-1}) \right\| \stackrel{P_{n,\theta_0}}{\longrightarrow} 0,$$

if and only if

$$\sqrt{p}\frac{\sigma_n^2}{\tau_n^2} = \frac{\sqrt{p}}{n}\frac{\sigma_0^2}{\tau_n^2} \to 0$$
 i.e. $w_n = 1 - o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{p_n}}\right)$.

Example: Pinsker priors

Back to regression: $dY_t = f(t)dt + \sigma_n dW_t$ Minimax MSE linear estimation of f: $\{f : \int_0^1 (D^{\alpha} f)^2 \le C^2 \}$

Least favourable prior on wavelet coeffs (for sample size n):



 \Rightarrow critical level $j_* = j_*(n, \alpha)$ grows with n

(Growing Gaussian model again)

Validity of B-vM depends on level

Bayes estimator for the Pinsker prior attains exact minimax MSE (asymptotically) But Bernstein von Mises fails at the critical level $j_*(n)$:

At
$$j_*(n)$$

[fine scale features]
 $1 - w_n = \frac{1}{1 + \tau_{j_*}^2 / \sigma_n^2} \ge 2^{-\alpha}$ BvM fails

At fixed j_0 : [coarse scale]

$$p = 2^{j_0} \operatorname{FIXED}(\operatorname{or slowly} \nearrow)$$

 $\tau_{j_0}^2 / \sigma_n^2 = \lambda_n 2^{-j_0 \alpha} \to \infty$

 $1 - w_n \to 0$ BvM holds

 \Rightarrow Difficulty lies with high dimensional features.

Three Talks

1. Function Estimation & Classical Normal Theory

• $X_n \sim N_{p(n)}(\theta_n, I)$ $p(n) \nearrow$ with n (MVN)

- 2. The Threshold Selection Problem
 - In (MVN) with, say, $\hat{\theta}_i = X_i I\{|X_i| > \hat{t}\}$
 - How to select $\hat{t} = \hat{t}(X)$ "reliably"?
- 3. Large Covariance Matrices
 - $X_n \sim N_{p(n)}(I \otimes \Sigma_{p(n)})$; especially $X_n = \begin{vmatrix} Y_n \\ Z_n \end{vmatrix}$
 - spectral properties of $n^{-1}X_nX_n^T$
 - PCA, CCA, MANOVA